UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,682	07/31/2006	Katsumi Shibayama	46884-5426	8343
	7590 03/25/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE SUITE 1100		PATEL, REEMA		
	N, DC 20005-1209	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,682	SHIBAYAMA, KATSUMI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Reema Patel	2812		

		Reema Patel	2812	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>26 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The app app for	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on lication, applicant must timely file one of the following relication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aband it, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
<u> </u>	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejectior	١.
have been under 37 ( set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exters (b) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
filin	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comply the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the NTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, book they raise new issues that would require further cor they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ause
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the	e issues for
(d)	appeal; and/or  They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
` , ,	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
nor	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all-allowable claim(s).			
hov	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [v the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an ex	planation of
Cla	m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to:			
	m(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . m(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAV</u>	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bec	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	d.
	e request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowanc	e because:
	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (her:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	el S. Lebentritt/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2812			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation of "an optical resin provided so as to fill a space between a light exit surface of the scintillator panel and the resin film" in independent claim 10, lines 11-12, would require further search and/or consideration.